

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/328495813>

Functional Balance & Gait Balance in Normal Geriatric Population: By Gait Training with Multiple Task

Article in Indian Journal of Physiotherapy & Occupational Therapy · October 2018

DOI: 10.5958/0973-5674.2018.00077.1

CITATIONS

0

READS

317

5 authors, including:



Anwesh Pradhan

Nopany Institute of Healthcare Studies, Kolkata, India

8 PUBLICATIONS 2 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Gargi Ray Chaudhuri

Nopany Institute of HealthCare Studies

7 PUBLICATIONS 202 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Shabnam Agarwal

Nopany Institute of Health Care Studies, Kolkata, India

13 PUBLICATIONS 80 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Tanusree Basak

Nopany Institute of HealthCare Studies kolkata

6 PUBLICATIONS 2 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:



OA knee [View project](#)



HEMIPARETIC UPPER LIMB REHABILITATION [View project](#)

Functional Balance & Gait Balance in Normal Geriatric Population: By Gait Training with Multiple Task

Anwesh Pradhan¹, Rishi Raj², Gargi Ray Chaudhuri³, Shabnam Agarwal¹, Tanusree Basak¹

¹Associate Professor, ²PG Student, ³Professor, Nopany Institute of Healthcare Studies, Kolkata, India

ABSTRACT

The main impact of pathologies in geriatric population is falling due to abnormal gait deviations. Thus, fear of falling reduces their mobility that prevents independent living in geriatrics. Mobility depends on person's ability and perception to complete a task. Multiple task training is an interaction of motor and somatosensory inputs. Improvement of gait in geriatrics depends upon the type of task and environment, potentially due to cognitive dysfunction and reduced cognitive performance.

Purpose of this study: Geriatric persons were trained with multiple task activity and mental tasking which may help them to improve their gait balance in the altered environment and eventually improve their gait task and reduced chances of fall.

Materials and Method: 40 normal geriatric individuals were taken in 2 groups. One group was trained with multiple task exercise while the other was trained with the same and cognitive task for the period of 4 weeks. Gait balance is measured by Berg balance score and Time up and go test.

Results: The independent t-test shows significant ($p < 0.05$) difference between groups and the mean comparison shows multiple tasking with cognitive tasking has a better impact in improving gait balance in geriatric population.

Conclusion: Multiple tasks exercises with cognitive task can be used as a better tool to improve the gait balance than the multiple task exercises

Keywords: *Fall, Gait balance, Multiple task exercise, Cognitive tasking*

INTRODUCTION

A gradual but definite reduction in physiological capacity of different systems is observed in the process of aging, which leads to decrease in functional capacity of elderly and chronic disability.^[1,2] Established Populations for Epidemiologic Studies of the Elderly (EPESE) indicated the physical disability is most prevalent in

oldest-old based on linear relationship between disability and age.^[3]

In elderly, 30% of people who are 65 years old are prone to fall about once a year.^[4,5] Falling is an interaction of physical dysfunction, medications and environmental hazards that leads to over-balancing and gait impairments. Patla and Shumway-Cook describes Functional gait in eight environmental dimensions like time constraints, distance, ambient conditions, terrain characteristics, external physical load, attention demand, postural transitions and traffic.^[6,7] Improvement of gait in geriatric population can be considered to make a person walk with a functional speed. It is evident that inability to perform concurrent tasks based on the gait dimensions is a contributing factor to instability and falls in older

Corresponding author:

Anwesh Pradhan

Associate Professor, Neuro Physiotherapy Department

Nopany Institute of Healthcare Studies, 2C Nando

Mullick Lane, Kolkata, India, Pin-700006

Mobile: +91 9932874589

Email: anwesh0907@gmail.com

adults. In this context it has been suggested that gait training under multiple task conditions are necessary to optimize functional independence and reduce falls in elderly people. [8,9,10]

Multiple task training is an interaction of motor and somatosensory inputs. In multiple tasks such as narrow walking and obstacle walking, participants either stop their walking or take a longer time to complete their gait task due to loss of central capacity to carry out walking and maintain their balance simultaneously. Improvement of gait balance in geriatric population depends upon the type of task and environment, potentially due to cognitive dysfunction or because of reduced cognitive performance. [11]

Multiple task intervention is guided by pathology that underlies the gait deficit, helps to improve functional gait. In multiple task training, the visual attention task and mental task interferes with attention or postural control.

In India the size of the elderly population is fast growing. United Nations (1996 Revision) has indicated that 21% of the Indian population will be 60 plus by 2016. [12] NSS Survey on Employment-Unemployment (2007-2008) revealed that nearly 40% of the persons aged 60 years are working. [13] In rural areas 66% of elderly rural men & above 23% of elderly rural women still participating in economic activity, than in urban area whereas only 39% of elderly men above 7% of elderly women participating in economic activity. [14] So, improving their gait balance may help in improving the quality of life of geriatric population and the society as well both economically and socially.

Thus the purpose of this study is to find out the effects of gait training under multiple task conditions to improve functional balance and gait balance in normal geriatric population.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Participants included were community dwellers of Kolkata of both genders, aged between 65-75 years, able to walk approximately 4 meters without any assistance. Institutional Human Ethics committee approved informed written consent was taken from the willing participants and then were randomly divided

in 2 treatment groups (Group A & B). Both groups participants were practiced narrow walking and obstacle walking, additionally group B participants were given mental tasking to do along. All participants underwent 4 weeks training, 3 days per week, 45 minutes per session. Cardiovascular monitoring was done before and after the interventions for all the participants. [15,16,17]

Narrow Walking: Participants were asked to walk within 2 parallel strips marking on the floor of 4 meters distance. The width of the strips was determined by 4 cm less than their preferred step-width.

Obstacle Walking: Participants were asked to walk and step over 3 obstacles (a shoe box: 10cm high X 19cm wide X 33cm long), placed at starting, 2 meter mark and 4 meter mark in a stretch of 4 meter distance.

Mental Tasking: Participants were asked to walk (Narrow and obstacle walking), counting backward by threes from any starting number from 90 to 200 simultaneously. [18]

Outcome Measures: Demographic information was collected from all participants in pre-designed format. Berg Balance Scale (BBS) and Time up and go test (TUG) were performed to measure the functional balance and gait balance of the participants respectively. Both the measures are valid and reliable. [19, 20, 21, 22] All the participants were underwent a practice trial before testing.

RESULTS

Total 65 participants were screened based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. Out of which 46 were selected and 40 participants had given consent. Demographic data is given in Table 1. There was no drop out. BBS and TUG scores were taken before training started and after 4 weeks of training. Paired t test was used to compare the pre and post training scores in each group which shows significant ($p < 0.05$) improvement in functional and gait balance in the geriatric people Table 2. Independent t test was used to compare the pre-post mean difference between groups which shows significant ($p < 0.05$) improvement in TUG score and BBS score as well (Table 3).

Table 1: Demographic data of group A and group B

| | Group A (n=20) Mean (SD) | Group B (n=20) Mean (SD) |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Age (Years) | 69.50 (2.91) | 70 (3.29) |
| Gender (Male/ Female) | 8/12 | 10/10 |
| Height (cm) | 160.26 (8.89) | 160.90 (8.08) |
| Weight (Kg) | 62.60 (6.15) | 61.95 (5.81) |

Table 2: Within group Pre and post training score analysis of TUG and BBS for group A and group B

| | | n | Mean | SD | Pre-Post Mean (SD) | df | t value | p value |
|-------------|---------------|----|-------|-------|--------------------|----|---------|---------|
| Group A TUG | Pre training | 20 | 36.17 | 7.63 | 4.10 (3.86) | 19 | 4.75 | <0.05 |
| | Post training | 20 | 32.07 | 7.53 | | | | |
| Group B TUG | Pre training | 20 | 33.60 | 10.64 | 9.45 (3.82) | 19 | 11.09 | <0.05 |
| | Post training | 20 | 24.13 | 7.41 | | | | |
| Group A BBS | Pre training | 20 | 34.95 | 5.50 | -5.25(4.02) | 19 | -5.83 | <0.05 |
| | Post training | 20 | 40.20 | 4.40 | | | | |
| Group B BBS | Pre training | 20 | 33.90 | 6.40 | -11.80 (4.63) | 19 | -11.40 | <0.05 |
| | Post training | 20 | 45.70 | 3.74 | | | | |

Table 3: Between group comparison for TUG and BBS values

| GROUP | Mean | Std. Deviation | Mean Difference | df | t value | p value |
|--------------------------|-------|----------------|-----------------|----|---------|---------|
| GROUP A TUG post test | 32.07 | 7.52982 | 7.94100 | 38 | 3.362 | 0.002 |
| GROUP B TUG post test | 24.13 | 7.40976 | | | | |
| GROUP A BBS post test | 40.20 | 4.408 | -5.500 | 38 | -4.253 | 0.000 |
| GROUP B BBS post test | 45.70 | 45.70 | | | | |

DISCUSSION

The functional balance of the geriatric person was evaluated with BBS and gait balance was evaluated by using TUG in this study. The comparison between pre post training BBS score and TUG score shows significant ($p < 0.05$) improvement in functional balance and gait balance respectively in group A. Which indicates that narrow walking and obstacle walking is an effective training to improve balance in geriatric population. Walking ability is an integral part of many activities

of daily living. Since many daily living tasks involve concurrent movements, measures of dual-task decrement are important. Full community ambulators display a significant increase in dual-task-related gait decrement. Yea-Ru Yang et al (2006) suggested that motor task related gait determinants are present for healthy subjects/individuals. [23] Narrow walking & obstacle walking are the motor task related gait determinants. In narrow walking an individual has to walk and maintain the balance in narrow base. Whereas in obstacle walking an individual has to overcome the obstacles in the path

and maintain his balance and walk. So, improving these determinants will improve gait. P. Silsupadol et al (2006) also showed that multiple task training improve gait in older adults better than single task training. [24] One possible explanation of this outcome is task coordination, which is required while walking. Here the participants used strategies to co-ordinate multiple task during walking, for example, walking in a plain surface but with narrow base and obstacle with in the path.

Motor skill acquisition is associated with the development of automaticity and induces neuroplastic changes in the brain. Debarre. F. et al (2003) suggested, bimanual skill learning was associated with a shift of activation among cortico-subcortical regions, providing further evidence for the existence of differential cortico-subcortical circuits preferably involved during the early and advanced stages of learning. [25] They said that bimanual activation changes account for the transition from highly attention demanding task performance, involving processing of sensory information and corrective action planning, to automatic performance based on memory representations and forward control. In elderly people, coordinating and managing multiple tasks is crucial and reduced. So, training them in multiple tasks can improve their task coordination. Here in this study they improved their gait balance by doing task while walking. So narrow walking and obstacle walking can be a useful to improve gait balance in elderly person.

Participants of group B had undergone mental tasking along with narrow walking and obstacle walking which also showed effective ($p < 0.05$) in improving balance in Geriatrics. Mental task requires more attention while performing. Various studies showed that in the dual task condition attention was substantially diverted from the visuomotor task when the balance was perturbed, presumably redirected to the control of the compensatory response required to re-stabilize. According to the task coordination and management hypothesis, ability to coordination and management of multiple task activity is reduced in older adults. It is believed that postural instability evokes cortical and autonomic reactions in addition to the primary compensatory response, and it is hypothesized that these responses may be related to underlying affective influences such as tonic physiological arousal. [26] Maki and McIlroy concluded in their study that Physiological arousal may be a potential cofounder when attempting to

understand the influence of attention on postural control. [27]

The comparison between the findings of both groups showed that mental tasking along with narrow walking and obstacle walking was more effective statistically ($p < 0.05$) than narrow walking and obstacle walking. The mental task used in this study was type of math task which intend to increase both arousal and attention to be performed. So, the training procedure can improve both arousal and attention, thus improve the gait balance. The older adults may learn the procedure and compensatory mechanisms to maintain their postural stability during gait and improve the balance during gait. The changes in learning were associated with task-related changes in physiological arousal, and highlight the need to account for the potentially confounding influence of arousal when studying attentional effects. SmithRay RL, Hughes SL (2015) also showed similar effects where they hypothesized that walking abilities and cognitive function contribute to the multiple task effects on gait balance.¹¹

As per neurophysiologic control of gait, the production of basic motor sequence is mediated by spinal cord mechanisms or central pattern generators which are neuronal networks capable of generating a rhythmic pattern of motor activity in the absence of phase sensory input from peripheral receptors. [28] So, multiple cortical and sub cortical areas contribute to functional gait. [29, 30]

CONCLUSION

It can be concluded in this study that the mental task and dual task training together can help or train a geriatric individual to stay aroused and attentive during walking and so the gait balance of that person is improved.

Source of Funding: Self

REFERENCES

1. Fried LP. Epidemiology of Aging. Epidemiologic reviews- The Johns Hopkins University School of Hygiene and Public Health. 2000; 22: 95-106.
2. Raz N, Torres IJ, Spencer WD. Pathoclysis in aging human cerebral cortex: Evidence from in vivo MRI morphometry. Psychobiology. 1993; 21: 151-60.
3. Cornoni-Huntley JC, Foley DJ, White LR, Suzman R, Berkman LF, Evans DA et al. Epidemiology of disability in the oldest old: methodologic issues and

- preliminary findings. *Milbank Mem Fund Q Health Soc.* 1985; 63:350-76.
4. Simonsick EM, Lafferty ME, Philips CL, Mendes de Leon CF, Kasl SV, Seeman TE et al. Risk Due to Inactivity in Physically Capable Older Adults. *Am J Public Health.* 1993; 83:1443-50.
 5. Nevitt MC, Cummings SR, Hudes ES. Risk Factor for injurious falls; A prospective study. *J Getontol.* 1991; 46: M164-70.
 6. Shumway-Cook A, Patla AE, Stewart A, Ferrucci L, Ciol MA, Guralnik JM. Environmental demands associated with community mobility in older adults with and without mobility disabilities: *Phys Ther.* 2002; 82: 670-81.
 7. Shumway-Cook A, Patla A, Stewart AL, Ferrucci L, Ciol MA, Guralnik JM. Assessing environmentally determined mobility disability: self-report versus observed community mobility. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2005; 53:700-4.
 8. Willingham D.B. A neuropsychological theory of motor skill. *Psychol Rev.* 1998; 105 :558–84.
 9. Kahneman D. Attention and effort. Prentice –Hall New Jersey Rev. 1973;p 214-55.
 10. Wickens CD. Multiple resources and performance prediction. *Theor. Issues In Ergon. Sci.* 2002; 3; 159-77.
 11. Smith-Ray RL, Hughes SL, Prohaska TR, Little DM, Jurivich DA, Hedeker D. Impact of cognitive training on balance and gait in older adults. *Journals of Gerontology, Series B. Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences,* 2013; 70: 357–66. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbt097.
 12. Central Statistics Office. Elderly in India- profile and programs 2016. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation Government of India. 2016; p.i-iv Available from: <http://www.mospi.gov.in>
 13. National policy on older person Jan 1999. Ministry of social justice And empowerment government of india shastri bhawan, New Delhi. Available from: <http://socialjustice.nic.in/writereaddata/UploadFile/National%20Policy%20for%20Older%20Persons%20Year%201999.pdf> (30.12.17)
 14. NSS Report No. 531: Employment and Unemployment Situation in India, July, 2007 - June, 2008. National Sample Survey Office Ministry of Statistics & Programme Implementation Government of India. 2010. Available from: http://mospi.nic.in/sites/default/files/publication_reports/531_final.pdf
 15. Silsudapol P, Siu KC, Shumway-Cook A, Woollacott MH. Training of balance under single task and dual task condition older adults with balance impairments. *Phys Ther.* 2006; 86: 269-81.
 16. Shumway-Cook A, Woollacott M, Kerns KA, Baldwin M. The effects of two types of cognitive tasks on postural stability in older adults with and without a history of falls, *Journals of Gerontology Series A.* 1997; 52: 232-40.
 17. Silsupadol P, Shumway-Cook A, Lugade V, vanDonkelaar P, Chou LC, Mayr U et al. Effects of Single-Task Versus Dual-Task Training on Balance Performance in Older Adults: A Double-Blind, Randomized Controlled Trial *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2009; 90: 381–7. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2008.09.559.
 18. Muangngoen M, Pichaiyongwongdee S, Prasertsukdee S. Comparison of balance performance between tandem walks with visual attention task and with mental counting task in Thai elderly. *RSU Research Conference 2010,* 1 April 2010, Thailand; 17-23.
 19. Muir SW, Berg K, Chesworth B, Speechley M. Use of the Berg Balance Scale for Predicting Multiple Falls in Community-Dwelling Elderly People: A Prospective Study, *Phys Ther.* 2008; 88:449-59.
 20. Muir-Hunter SW, Graham L, Odasso MM. Reliability of the Berg Balance Scale as a Clinical Measure of Balance in Community-Dwelling Older Adults with Mild to Moderate Alzheimer Disease: A Pilot Study. *Physiotherapy Canada.* 2015; 67;255–62.
 21. Nordin E, Lindelöf N, Rosendahl E, Jensen J, Lundin-olsson L. Prognostic validity of the Timed Up-and-Go test, a modified Get-Up-and-Go test, staff's global judgement and fall history in evaluating fall risk in residential care facilities. *Age and Ageing.* 2008; 37: 442–8.
 22. Ng SS, Hui-Chan CW. The timed up & go test: its reliability and association with lower-limb impairments and locomotor capacities in people with chronic stroke. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2005; 86: 1641-7.

23. Yang YR, Wang RY, Chen YC, Kao MJ. Dual-task exercise improves walking ability in chronic stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2007; 88:1236-40.
24. Silsupadol P, Lugade V, Shumway-Cook A, van Donkelaar P, Chou LS, Mayr U et al. Training-related changes in dual-task walking performance of elderly persons with balance impairment: A double-blind, randomized controlled trial, *Gait Posture*. 2009 ; 29: 634–9. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2009.01.006.
25. Debaere F, Wenderoth N, Sunaert S, Van Hecke P, Swinnen SP. Changes in brain activation during the acquisition of a new bimanual coordination task. *Neuropsychologia*. 2004; 42: 855-67.
26. Adkin A.L, Quant S, Maki B.E, Mcllroy WE. Cortical response associated with predictable and unpredictable compensatory balance reactions. *Exp Brain Res*.2006; 172: 85-93.
27. Maki BE, Mcllroy WE. Influence of arousal and attention on the control of postural sway .*J Vestib Res*. 1996; 6: 53-9.
28. Armstrong DM. The supraspinal control of mammalian locomotion, Review Lecture. *Journal of Physiology*. 1988; 405: 1-37.
29. Takakusaki K. Neurophysiology of gait: from the spinal cord to the frontal lobe. *Mov Disord*. 2013; 28:1483-91. doi: 10.1002/mds.25669.
30. Dimitrijevic MR, Larsson LE. Neural control of gait: clinical neurophysiological aspects. *Appl Neurophysiol*. 1981; 44:152-9.